NORTHERN UTILITIES, INC. - NEW HAMPSHIRE DIVISION REMEDIATION ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE COMPLIANCE FILING 2006 - 2007 ENVIRONMENTAL RESPONSE COSTS SITE SPECIFIC EXPENSES

Line Description	Total	11/04-10/05 11/05-10/06 11/06-10/07 11/07-10/08 11/08-10/09 11/09-10/10 11/10-10/11 11/11-10/12 11/12-10/13 11/1310/14
ENVIRONMENTAL RESPONSE COST (ERC)		
1 July 03 - June 04 Expenses Amortization (1/7)	₩.	291,630 \$ 41,661 \$ 41,661 \$ 41,661 \$ 41,661 \$ 41,661 \$ 41,661
2 July 04 - June 05 Expenses Amortization (1/7)	₩	909,099 \$ - \$ 129,871 \$ 129,871 \$ 129,871 \$ 129,871 \$ 129,871 \$ 129,871 \$ 129,871
3 July 05 - June 06 Expenses Amortization (1/7)	€	632,461 \$ - \$ - \$ 90,352 \$ 90,352 \$ 90,352 \$ 90,352 \$ 90,352 \$ 90,352
4 July 06 - June 07 Expenses Amortization (1/7)	\$	186,594 \$ - \$ - \$ 26,656 \$ 26,656 \$ 26,656 \$ 26,656 \$ 26,656 \$ 26,656 \$ 26,656
5 Subtotal (Line 1 through Line 5)	₩	2,019,784 \$ 41,661 \$ 171,533 \$ 261,884 \$ 288,541 \$ 288,541 \$ 288,541 \$ 288,541 \$ 246,879 \$ 117,008 \$ 26,656
<ul><li>6 Add: Excess amortization from prior years (from schedule 5, Line 10)</li></ul>	€	
7 Less: Excess amortization to be deferred (from schedule 5, Line 9)	\$	
<ul><li>8 Total Environmental Response cost to be recovered (ERC)</li></ul>	φ.	2,019,784 \$ 41,661 \$ 171,533 \$ 261,884 \$ 288,541 \$ 288,541 \$ 288,541 \$ 288,541 \$ 246,879 \$ 117,008
UNAMORTIZED ENVIRONMENTAL RESPONSE COST 9 July 2001 - June 2002 Unamortized beginning balance 10 July 2002 - June 2003 Unamortized beginning balance 11 July 2003 - June 2004 Unamortized beginning balance 12 July 2004 - June 2005 Unamortized beginning balance 13 July 2005 - June 2006 Unamortized beginning balance 14 July 2006 - June 2007 Unamortized beginning balance 14 July 2006 - June 2007 Unamortized beginning balance	DST 50 60 60 60 60 60	\$ 739,581 \$ 591,665 \$ 443,748 \$ 295,832 \$ 147,916 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$
15 Total Unamortized beginning balance		\$1,222,885 \$1,910,461 \$2,191,527 \$1,936,375 \$1,467,973 \$ 999,570 \$ 679,084 \$ 390,543 \$ 143,664 \$ 26,656
16 INSURANCE/3RD PARTY EXPENSES (IE) Expenses (from schedule 2)		8 - 8 - 8 - 8 - 8
17 INSURANCE/3RD PARTY RECOVERIES (IR) 18 UNDER/OVER Recovery from previous year		·
19 Total of Lines 15, 16, 17, 18		\$1,222,885 \$ 1,910,461 \$2,191,527 \$1,936,573 \$ 1,467,973 \$ 999,570 \$ 679,084 \$ 390,543 \$ 143,664 \$ 26,656

Schedule 2 Page 1 of 1

Remediation Adjustment Clause Compliance Filing 2006 - 2007 ENVIRONMENTAL RESPONSE COSTS Summary

N N		LEGAL		CONSULTING	REMED	REMEDIATION	OTHER		100% RECOVERABLE		INSURANCE & 3RD PARTY	INSURANCE & THIRD PARTY
S S	DESCRIPTION	EXPENSE		EXPENSE	EXPENSE	- 1	EXPENSE		EXPENSE		EXPENSE	RECOVERIES
_	Portsmouth Gas Works	€9		· ↔	€9	,	€9		€9		\$ 49	
7	Exeter Gas Works	€		\$ 959	& G	10,643	€9	213	41,	11,815	\$ 49	
က	Rochester Gas Works	€9		\$ 2,382	€	42,349	€9	6,781	↔	51,512	\$	
4	Dover Gas Works	ь	,	•	<del>()</del>	ı	€	ı	<b>↔</b>	1	\$ 49	
5	Somerworth Gas Works	↔		\$ 3,822	↔	117,447	€	1,999	46	123,268	\$ 49	
									·			
	TOTALS	ь	,	\$ 7,164	7,164 \$	170,439	6-9	8,992	\$ 186,	186,594	\$ 198	· •

REMEDIATION ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE COMPLIANCE FILING 2006 - 2007 ENVIRONMENTAL RESPONSE COSTS

Site 11 Exeter Gas Works

	TOTAL	3,685.00	61.95	31.50	119.12	70.00	210.00	140.00	35.00	105.00	70.00	329.34	906.28	292.08	89.50	5,670.26	11,815.03
		8	₩	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	ss.
OTHER	EXPENSE		61.95	31.50	119.12												212.57
	ш		↔	↔	↔												€9
REMEDIATION	EXPENSE	3,685.00											906.28	292.08	89.50	5,670.26	10,643.12
R	ш	<del>S</del>											↔	↔	<del>()</del>	↔	<del>⇔</del>
SONSULTING	EXPENSE					70.00	210.00	140.00	35.00	105.00	70.00	329.34					959.34
Ś	Ω					↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔					<del>\$</del>
LEGAL	EXPENSE																1
	INVOICE NO.	1691	136762	139024	145069	N80	N84	N85	N87	08N	06N	N91	60228	62791	66062	67565	100
	VENDOR NAME	Carson Landscape & Prope	Curry Printing	Curry Printing	Curry Printing	Paul J. Exner, P.E.	The RETEC Group, Inc.	TOTAL									
	LINE	1	2 (	3	4	5 F	6 F	7 F	80	6	10 H	11 F	12 1	13 T	14 T	15 T	16 <b>T</b>

REMEDIATION ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE COMPLIANCE FILING 2006-2007 ENVIRONMENTAL RESPONSE COSTS Site 13 Rochester Gas Works

TOTAL	550.37	786.84	820.89	1,168.72	485.08	568.63	497.68	599.23	582.81	320.13	106.71	293.80	630.00	3,493.46	3,190.02	5,334.61	2,613.86	1,339.48	5,330.80	2,916.46	490.00	70.00	35.00	461.24	105.00	350.00	455.00	70.00	836.02	9,035.16	6,291.14	374.86	181.26	69.06	148.13	627.86	260.91	51,511.79
OTHER EXPENSE	550.37 \$	786.84 \$	820.89 \$	1,168.72 \$	485.08 \$	568.63 \$	497.68 \$	599.23 \$	582.81 \$	320.13 \$	106.71 \$	293.80 \$	€9	€9	₩	₩	₩	€9	€9	₩	€9	₩	€	€9	€9	€9	₩	€9	€9	<del>69</del>	69	€	69	€9	€9	€9	₩	6,780.89 \$
REMEDIATION OF	s	↔	₩	∳>	<b>⇔</b>	↔	↔	69	€9	€	€>	€9	630.00	3,493.46	3,190.02	5,334.61	2,613.86	1,339.48	5,330.80	2,916.46	490.00									9,035.16	6,291.14	374.86	181.26	90.63	148.13	627.86	260.91	42,348.64 \$ 6
CONSULTING REM EXPENSE EX													€	<b>↔</b>	₩	<del>69</del>	€9	€9	€9	€>	₩	70.00	35.00	461.24	105.00	350.00	455.00	70.00	836.02	€9	€9	ક્ક	€9	€9	₩	69	မာ	2,382.26 \$
LEGAL CO EXPENSE E																						€9	€9	<b>&amp;</b> >	\$	₩	₩	₩	€9									•
INVOICE NO.	NA	Ϋ́	Ϋ́	ΑN	Ϋ́	Ν	Ν	ΥN	Ϋ́Z	Ϋ́	Ν	1320304	354084	356219	357044	360248	363081	370118	374546	376847	NNSAAS111	N80	N82	N85	N87	N88	68N	06N	16N	59375	60227	61131	61251	62213	62790	64406	65092	
VENDOR NAME	Adecco Employment Services	City of Rochester	ENSR Corporation	NeoNexus Corporation	Paul J. Exner, P.E.	The RETEC Group, Inc.	TOTAL																															
Z N	-	2	က	4	5	9	7	80	6	10	1	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38

REMEDIATION ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE COMPLIANCE FILING 2006-2007 ENVIRONMENTAL RESPONSE COSTS Site 14
Somersworth Gas Works

TOTAL	370.00	1,600.00	106,031.56	104.11	1,187.50	1,175.00	4,635.45	1,534.60	2,067.17	711.66	28.51	245.00	70.00	315.00	105.00	350.00	497.05	420.00	280.00	420.00	260.00	210.00	350.00	123,267.61
	\$	<del>\$</del>	↔	↔	ઝ	↔	\$	↔	↔	↔	<b>-</b>	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	\$	4 *
OTHER EXPENSE	370.00	1,600.00									28.51													1,998.51
	↔	↔	<b>.</b>		_	_		_			↔													€9
REMEDIATION EXPENSE			106,031.56	104.11	1,187.50	1,175.00	4,635.45	1,534.60	2,067.17	711.66														117,447.05
			↔	ઝ	ઝ	ઝ	↔	↔	↔	ઝ														₩.
CONSULTING EXPENSE												245.00	70.00	315.00	105.00	350.00	497.05	420.00	280.00	420.00	560.00	210.00	350.00	3,822.05
О Ш												↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	ક	₩.
LEGAL EXPENSE																								- \$
INVOICE NO.	61288296	61305154	C11108580	C11108694	C11108801	W11200024	W11200268	W11200305	W11200525	W11200774	R3782	N80	N81	N82	N83	N84	N85	98N	N87	N88	N89	06N	N91	l
VENDOR NAME	Adecco Employment Services	Adecco Employment Services	AMEC Earth & Environmental, Inc.	Artco RMG	Paul J. Exner, P.E.	<b>TOTAL</b>																		
LINE	1 /	2 7	3 1	4	2	1 9	1 1	7 8	7 6	10 4	11 /				15 F	16 F	17 F		19 F	20 F	21 F	22 F	23 F	24 1

## NORTHERN UTILITIES, INC.

## **EXETER GAS WORKS**

LINE NO.

SCHEDULE 4A

- 1. SITE LOCATION: Water Street and Green Street in Exeter, NH
- 2. DATE SITE WAS FIRST INVESTIGATED AS A DISPOSAL SITE: 1982 by USEPA
- 3. SUMMARY OF MATERIAL DEVELOPMENTS AND INTERACTIONS WITH ENVIRONMENTAL AUTHORITIES (July 1, 2006 June 30, 2007):
  - Northern continued a community outreach program with affected property owners and other interested parties, including Town of Exeter, Exeter Housing Authority, and Phillips Academy officials.
  - Northern retained RETEC to support post-remediation activities, including the upgrade of certain remediation elements, assessment of the effectiveness of the oxygen release compound injection program, and the preparation of a renewal application for the project groundwater permit.
  - Northern retained Carson Landscaping to remove shrubs that were not thriving and replace them with new shrubs to restore Gas Light Park to its original condition. Creation of the park on the site cap was part of the remediation agreed to with the Town and NHDES.
  - Northern sent engineering plans to printing/copying company to be scanned for uploading to electronic filing system. Plans were too large to be scanned on office scanners.
  - Northern retained the services of an independent contractor to manage the assessment and remediation of the site.
- 4. NEW HAMPSHIRE SITE REMEDIATION PROGRAM PHASE: Remediation and site closure.
- 5. NATURE AND SCOPE OF SITE CONTAMINATION: Areas containing residual materials from the historic operation and decommissioning of the former manufactured gas plant were discovered on small parcels of land on both sides of Water Street. These residuals, which include tars and oils, were found in the soil at discrete locations and in groundwater. The objective of the cleanup project, as discussed with the New Hampshire Department of Environmental Services, has been to stabilize affected soils to the extent practicable and to enhance the natural attenuation of any residuals in groundwater.

Northern prepared a project Completion Report that was submitted to NHDES in January 2002. The Completion Report documented that all construction work was completed in accordance with the Remedial Action Plan (RAP) that was submitted to the NHDES in October 2001. The

## **NORTHERN UTILITIES, INC.**

#### **EXETER GAS WORKS**

LINE NO.

SCHEDULE 4A

remedy consisted of the in-situ solidification of MGP residuals on the main parcel by auger mixing using a formulation of Portland cement and organophilic clay followed by grading and planting for site closure. The remedy also consisted of the injection of an oxygen release compound (ORC) into the soils and groundwater in the vicinity of the former settling lagoons on Exeter Housing Authority property.

- 6. HISTORY AND CURRENT STATUS OF USE AND OWNERSHIP OF SITE: The Exeter Gas Works operated from 1864 through 1955. The gas works was owned and operated by several companies during that time, including Exeter Gas Light Company in 1864, Strafford-York Gas Company in 1911, and Allied New Hampshire Gas Company in 1942. Allied New Hampshire Gas Company was a predecessor of Northern Utilities. Northern sold the eastern portion of the property to the Town of Exeter in 1978. In 1981 the eastern portion of the former MGP property was transferred to the Exeter Housing Authority. This portion of the site is currently used for elderly housing. The western portion of the former MGP is currently owned by Northern Utilities and is a landscaped lot.
- 7. LISTING AND STATUS OF INSURANCE AND 3<sup>RD</sup> PARTY LAWSUITS AND SETTLEMENTS: None

NAME OF SUIT: Not Applicable

DATE FILED: Not Applicable

STATUS (PENDING/SETTLED): Not Applicable

## NORTHERN UTILITIES, INC.

## **ROCHESTER GAS WORKS**

LINE <u>NO.</u>

SCHEDULE 4B

- 1. SITE LOCATION: Route 125 and Spaulding Turnpike, Rochester, NH
- 2. DATE SITE WAS FIRST INVESTIGATED AS A DISPOSAL SITE: 1989 by property owner
- 3. SUMMARY OF MATERIAL DEVELOPMENTS AND INTERACTIONS WITH ENVIRONMENTAL AUTHORITIES (July1, 2006 June 30, 2007):
  - Northern retained RETEC to support post-remediation activities, including the upgrade of certain remediation elements, assessment of the effectiveness of the natural biological attenuation of MGP constituents in groundwater, and the preparation of a renewal application for the project groundwater permit.
  - ENSR International was contracted by Northern to plan and implement an expansion of the phytoremediation program in order to control contaminated groundwater migrating toward Axe Handle Brook and the Cocheco River. To keep the newly planted trees from dying, Northern paid the City of Rochester to install a water service at the site.
  - Northern retained the services of an independent contractor to manage the assessment and remediation of the site. Northern also retained the services of Environmental Standards, Inc. to support quality assurance efforts for sampling and analysis.
  - For convenience, Northern Utilities New Hampshire Division's proportionate share of the cost for developing and operating the computer based laboratory data management system was charged to this site.
  - Northern retained temporary administrative staff to assist in managing projects and
    maintaining site related documents, including scanning and filing electronic copies of
    documents on a central server. For convenience, Northern Utilities New Hampshire
    Division's proportionate share of these costs were all charged to the Rochester site.
- 4. NEW HAMPSHIRE SITE REMEDIATION PROGRAM PHASE: Site closure and monitoring
- 5. NATURE AND SCOPE OF SITE CONTAMINATION: Areas containing residual materials from the historic operation and decommissioning of the former manufactured gas plant were discovered on the two-acre parcel. These residuals, which include tars and oils, were found in the soil at discrete locations and in groundwater. The objective of the cleanup project, as approved by the New Hampshire Department of Environmental Services, has been to remove affected soils to the extent practicable and to enhance the natural attenuation of any residuals.

## NORTHERN UTILITIES, INC.

## **ROCHESTER GAS WORKS**

LINE NO.

SCHEDULE 4B

Addenda to the remediation program have included the removal of a tar well that had previously been inaccessible because of propane storage equipment, the purchase of the former MGP parcel from AmeriGas, the demolition of an historic MGP structure, the implementation of a multiphase phytoremediation program to mitigate contaminated groundwater flow, and the further assessment of MGP residuals in the subsurface that continue to contaminate groundwater.

- 6. HISTORY AND CURRENT STATUS OF USE AND OWNERSHIP OF SITE: The Rochester Gas Light Company owned and operated the gas works from 1906 through 1911. The gas works was owned and operated by two companies after that time, Strafford-York Gas Company in 1911 and Allied New Hampshire Gas Company in 1942. The plant ceased operating in 1957. Allied New Hampshire Gas Company was a predecessor of Northern Utilities. Northern sold the property to Pyrofax Gas Corporation in 1971. Pyrofax sold the property to Petrolane Gas Service, Limited Partnership in 1987. AmeriGas purchased Petrolane in 1994. The property is currently used by Amerigas as a propane sales and distribution facility. Northern purchased the eastern portion of the property from Mr. Peter Field in 1990. This portion of the site is undeveloped and contains remnants of a railroad bed. Northern also owns land adjacent to the former gas works.
- 7. LISTING AND STATUS OF INSURANCE AND 3<sup>RD</sup> PARTY LAWSUITS AND SETTLEMENTS:

NAME OF SUIT: Field vs. Petrolane and Northern Utilities, and Petrolane vs. Northern Utilities

DATE FILED: 1988

STATUS: (PENDING/SETTLED): Settled 1994

## NORTHERN UTILITIES, INC.

#### **SOMERSWORTH GAS WORKS**

LINE NO.

SCHEDULE 4C

- 1. SITE LOCATION: Main Street and Depot Road in Somersworth, NH
- 2. DATE SITE WAS FIRST INVESTIGATED AS A DISPOSAL SITE: 1985 by New Hampshire Division of Public Health Services and New Hampshire Water Supply and Pollution Control Commission
- 3. SUMMARY OF MATERIAL DEVELOPMENTS AND INTERACTIONS WITH ENVIRONMENTAL AUTHORITIES (July 1, 2006 June 30, 2007):
- AMEC, the prime construction contractor for Northern, continued to evaluate the effectiveness of the limited excavation, targeted subsurface grouting, and in-situ chemical oxidation (ISCO) remediation program throughout the reporting period. AMEC invoices include the last subcontractor invoice for the ISCO remediation completed prior to this reporting period.
- Northern retained the services of an independent contractor to manage the assessment and remediation of the site.
- Northern retained temporary administrative staff to assist in managing projects and maintaining site related documents, including scanning and filing electronic copies of documents on a central server. Northern New Hampshire Division's proportionate share of the cost of Purchase Requisition forms was charged to this site for convenience.
- 4. NEW HAMPSHIRE SITE REMEDIATION PROGRAM PHASE: Remedy Implementation.
- 5. NATURE AND SCOPE OF SITE CONTAMINATION: Because the Somersworth site is very small, space constraints made it unlikely that significant amounts of MGP residuals were used as fill on-site. The extensive test-pit program at Somersworth substantiates the assertion that significant amounts of MGP residuals were not used as fill on-site. Tars and liquors that may have accumulated in sub-grade vessels did not result in substantial releases, as indicated by the absence of any significant oil-like material in test pits and borings in the upper 10 to 15 feet of soil at the site. Most of the Northern parcel is now covered with re-graded soil from local street work, with four inches of imported topsoil.

As indicated by the site-specific groundwater quality data, metals and high heavy organic compounds (e.g., PAHs) detected in soil do not leach to groundwater at significant concentrations. Two suspected sources of lighter-weight PAHs (e.g., naphthalene) and target volatile organic compounds (VOCs) detected in groundwater were identified – two former gasholders on the Northern parcel. Oily residuals of limited extent were found in soil at depth below these holders. This material has been in periodic contact with the fluctuating water

## NORTHERN UTILITIES, INC.

#### SOMERSWORTH GAS WORKS

LINE NO.

SCHEDULE 4C

table. Because the MGP operations ceased more than 70 years ago, the period of rapid degradation of MGP-related chemicals in groundwater has probably occurred. The relatively stable groundwater quality data is indicative of natural biodegradation.

Northern contracted with AMEC to act as prime contractor for design and remediation services. Earthwork activities were awarded to ENPRO and were completed in April 2005. This consisted of the removal of subsurface bodies of tar and the jet grouting of a small area of MGP impacted soil below a foundation floor. Northern-NH and AMEC awarded GeoCleanse the subcontract for the remediation of soil and groundwater using ISCO technology. The installation of oxidant injector wells and the first round of oxidant injection were completed in June 2005. Subsequent injections were conducted in the September 2005 and May 2006.

- 6. HISTORY AND CURRENT STATUS OF USE AND OWNERSHIP OF SITE: Available information indicates that the plant began operation as the Great Falls Gas Light Company in 1856 and may have been associated with the mills of the Great Falls Manufacturing Company. The plant was deeded to the Strafford-York Gas Company in 1911 (a predecessor of Allied New Hampshire Gas Company and subsequently Northern Utilities). The gas company leased two small parcels from the Great Falls Manufacturing Company in 1907, one to the north and one to the south of the main plant site. At its peak in 1917, the plant was supplying Rochester, East Rochester, Gonic, Somersworth, and Berwick, Maine. Available information indicates that the plant ceased production in 1928 and that the Rochester gas plant began supplying Somersworth with gas. It has been inferred from available information that the plant was demolished during the 1930's. Northern Utilities constructed a high-pressure Horton Sphere (gas ball) on the property in the 1940's as storage for propane and natural gas from the high-pressure main. The Horton Sphere was in operation into the 1980's when it was decommissioned and removed.
- 7. LISTING AND STATUS OF INSURANCE AND 3<sup>RD</sup> PARTY LAWSUITS AND SETTLEMENTS: None

NAME OF SUIT: Not Applicable

DATE FILED: Not Applicable

STATUS (PENDING/SETTLED): Not Applicable

## NORTHERN UTILITIES, INC. - NEW HAMPSHIRE DIVISION CALCULATION OF EXCESS ENVIRONMENTAL RESPONSE COST AMORTIZATION

Line No.	Description	Jul	y 02 - June 03	JĿ	ıly 03 - June 04	Ju	ly 04 - June 05	Jul	ly 05 - June 06	Ju	ly 06 - June 07
1	NH FIRM GAS REVENUES FROM PRIOR YEAR (includes total firm and transp	\$ oorta	51,639,648 tion (excluding		75,376,314 system revenue		81,728,945	\$	82,226,907	\$	101,878,866
2	5% of Line 1	\$	2,581,982	\$	3,768,816	\$	4,086,447	\$	4,111,345	\$	5,093,943
3	TOTAL ERC COST TO BE RECOVERED (FROM SCHEDULE 1 LINE 3)	\$	179,862	\$	41,661	\$	41,661	\$	171,533	\$	261,884
4	EXCESS AMORTIZATION DEFERRED FROM PRIOR YEARS	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
5	CARRYING CHARGES	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
6	EXCESS AMORTIZATION FROM PRIOR YEARS PLUS CARRYING CHARGES (LINE 4 PLUS LINE 5)	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
7	TOTAL POTENTIAL ERC COST TO RECOVERED (LINE 3 PLUS LINE 6)	\$	179,862	\$	41,661	\$	41,661	\$	171,533	\$	261,884
8	EXCESS AMORTIZATION TO BE DEFERRED (LINE 2 LESS LINE 7; IF POSITIVE ENTER ZERO)	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
9	EXCESS AMORTIZATION FROM PRIOR PLUS CARRYING CHARGES TO BE RECOVERE (LINE 7 MINUS LINE 3; IF NEGATIVE ENTER ZERO)	D \$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-

Attachment A Page 2 of 2

Northern Utilities, Inc.- New Hampshire Division 2006 - 2007 ENVIRONMENTAL RESPONSE COSTS

			Alloca	Allocation Amount	
			50.0%	20.0%	%0.0
Vendor Name	Invoice #	Total Invoice	NH	ME	MA
Dispute Resolution	10017	\$160.98	\$80.49	\$80.49	\$0.00
Dispute Resolution	10018	\$163.60	\$81.80	\$81.80	
Dispute Resolution	10019	\$45.28	\$22.64	\$22.64	
Dispute Resolution	10020	\$25.22	\$12.61	\$12.61	
			\$0.00	\$0.00	
Total		\$395.08	\$197.54	\$197.54	\$0.00

Total Insurance Expense \$197.54

Total Insurance Recovery

\$0.00

# Northern Utilities, Inc. - New Hampshire Division Energy Efficiency Programs Summary of Energy Efficiency Charges Effective November 2007 - October 2008

#### **CONSERVATION CHARGES (CC) per Therm**

Implementation - Schedule 2 and Schedule 3

Year 1 Performance Incentive - Schedule 5

CC's Effective November 1, 2007

Residential	
\$0.0115	
45.57.5	
\$0.0007	
	_
£0.0400	
\$0.0122	_

\$0.00	)66
\$0.00	007
\$0.00	)59
Commercial	& Industrial